#### Research Article

Helene Knudstad<sup>1\*</sup>, Liv Paulsengaard<sup>2</sup>

# **Public Policy Response in Expanding National Digital Education Access in Norway**

\*Corresponding Author: **Helene Knudstad**: Oslo Metropolitan University, Norway; <a href="helene.khudstad@oslomet.no">helene.khudstad@oslomet.no</a> **Liv Paulsengaard**: The Arctic University of Norway, Norway; <a href="helene.khudstad@oslomet.no">hiv.paulsengaard@uit.no</a>

DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.47353/ijedl.v3i6.350">https://doi.org/10.47353/ijedl.v3i6.350</a> | received August 7, 2025; accepted August 23, 2025; online August 31, 2025

Abstract: This study analyzes Norway's public policy responses in expanding national access to digital education. Drawing from policy documents, academic literature, and institutional practices, the paper explores how Norway integrates digitalization within its educational system while maintaining its longstanding commitment to equity. The findings are organized into four themes: national strategies, inclusion and accessibility, institutional readiness, and policy effectiveness. Norway has developed comprehensive digital education strategies that embed digital literacy into curricula, enhance teacher competencies, and ensure infrastructure support. Despite significant progress, challenges persist, especially in ensuring equitable access for students with disabilities, refugees, and those in remote areas. Institutional readiness varies, with universities and urban schools leading innovation, while vocational and rural institutions lag. Moreover, evaluation mechanisms often prioritize infrastructure over learning outcomes, leading to a policy-performance gap. The study concludes that while Norway's approach offers a strong foundation, future success depends on adaptive governance, sustainable investment, and participatory policy-making that centers pedagogy and learner diversity. These insights contribute to broader debates on how advanced welfare states can ensure inclusive, effective, and future-ready digital education.

**Keywords:** digital education policy, inclusion, institutional readiness.

#### Introduction

The acceleration of digital transformation across various sectors has significantly reshaped knowledge, societies engage with information, and communication. In the domain of education, digitalization has emerged as a pivotal driver of systemic reform and innovation, especially in the wake of the COVID-19 **Nations** pandemic. across Europe increasingly adopted digital platforms, tools, and infrastructure to ensure the continuity, flexibility, and inclusiveness of education. Norway, a country known for its progressive welfare policies and high technological readiness, has stood out in the European context for its early investments and strategic initiatives aimed at advancing digital education access.

Globally, education systems are undergoing substantial digital shifts. UNESCO, the OECD, and the European Commission have consistently emphasized the importance of equipping students and teachers with digital competencies to thrive in the 21st century. These recommendations align with the broader Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4), which advocates for inclusive and equitable quality education and the promotion of lifelong learning opportunities for all. One key dimension of this goal is ensuring equitable access to quality digital education infrastructure and content.

However, the global move toward digital education has also unveiled structural disparities. Unequal access to broadband internet, limited digital literacy among educators, and the marginalization of students with disabilities or those from rural or immigrant backgrounds are persistent challenges—even in high-income countries.

Norway's education system is characterized by a strong public framework, centralized national curricula, and substantial investment in teacher training and technology. Since the early 2000s, Norway has made strategic decisions to incorporate digital technology across all levels of education—from primary schools to universities (Kyvik, 2008). The Norwegian Directorate for Education and Training has played a central role in coordinating national efforts to digitalize classrooms and provide equal access to digital tools.

The Norwegian government's vision is guided by principles of equity, inclusion, and innovation. A national strategy, "Digitalisation in Basic Education," has been periodically updated to align with evolving technological standards and pedagogical frameworks. The commitment to equity is evident in policies targeting rural access, special needs education, and language inclusion (Beck, 2001).

The COVID-19 pandemic served as a stress test for education systems globally. In Norway, the abrupt closure of schools and universities led to a rapid transition to online learning. According to an OECD policy profile, Norway responded quickly by leveraging its existing digital infrastructure and platforms (Pont & Skalde, 2013). The crisis catalyzed a reevaluation of policies concerning digital readiness, teacher training, cybersecurity, and equitable access.

While many schools were already equipped with digital devices, the pandemic highlighted gaps in accessibility, particularly for students with disabilities, those living in rural areas, and immigrants with limited Norwegian language proficiency. Research by Kimogol and Sanderson (2024) found that visually impaired students in Norway faced persistent barriers in accessing digital learning materials, despite regulations mandating universal design (Kimogol & Sanderson, 2024).

A hallmark of Norway's digital education policy is its grounding in social equity. The country's long-standing emphasis on welfare and inclusivity has shaped a policy approach that seeks to avoid creating "digital winners and losers." For instance, Norway's student financing

policy—established in 1947—has been instrumental in broadening access to higher education across socioeconomic groups, and it has gradually evolved to support digital resource access as well (Askim, 2022).

Similarly, refugee inclusion policies in Norwegian higher education institutions, although still facing criticism for limited empowerment dimensions, have increasingly integrated digital platforms to support language online orientation, and learning. participation (Abamosa et al., 2020). However, these policies often remain constrained by neoliberal efficiency discourses, leaving behind considerations of justice and empowerment.

At the institutional level, Norway's universities have actively incorporated open access initiatives and digital repositories to democratize information and learning resources (Wenaas & Gulbrandsen, 2022). These efforts are supported by state policies mandating alignment with national digital goals, although the degree of emphasis on education (vs. research) in open access implementation varies by institution.

Moreover, the shift toward performance-based management and New Public Management (NPM) principles in Norwegian education policy has led to measurable targets, standardization, and increased accountability (Solhaug, 2011). While these reforms aim to increase efficiency, they also risk marginalizing local needs and pedagogical diversity if not balanced with inclusive and adaptive policy design.

Despite Norway's robust policy ecosystem, significant challenges remain. Digital inequalities are not purely infrastructural; they also stem from socioeconomic factors, disability, and educational attainment of parents. As observed in other sectors, parental education level correlates with children's access to resources, including digital learning tools (Finnvold, 2006). In addition, language policies for immigrant communities and the standardization of digital content often fail to

in Norway

accommodate diverse linguistic backgrounds (Iversen, 2017).

Furthermore, the debate over tuition fees for international students has sparked concerns about the commitment to inclusive digital higher education (Liu & Solheim, 2023), suggesting tensions between egalitarian ideals and emerging economic pressures.

This article aims to examine Norway's public policy responses in expanding national access to digital education. It focuses on the mechanisms, outcomes, and gaps associated with digital inclusion policies in formal education. By synthesizing government strategies, academic insights, and institutional practices, the study provides a comprehensive understanding of how a highly developed welfare state navigates the opportunities and challenges of digital education.

#### Method

This study adopts a qualitative descriptive approach to analyze Norway's public policy responses in expanding access to digital education. Rather than collecting primary data through surveys or interviews, the research is based on an extensive review of secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, government white papers, official education strategy documents, and reports international organizations such as the OECD and UNESCO.

The analysis focuses on understanding the intent, structure, implementation, and outcomes of key national policies related to digital education across all educational levels. The study specifically examines how these policies address equity, access, infrastructure, and social inclusion. Sources were selected based on relevance to Norway's digital education policy context, particularly those that discuss systemic reforms since the early 2000s and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

A thematic content analysis was used to identify recurring policy patterns, strategic frameworks, and institutional responses. This approach allowed the researcher to categorize the literature into key themes such as: (1) national digital strategies, (2) inclusion and accessibility, (3) teacher and institutional readiness, and (4) policy outcomes and gaps. These themes form the basis for the results and discussion section.

Because this study does not involve fieldwork or empirical experimentation, issues of sampling bias, statistical analysis, generalizability are not applicable. Instead, the emphasis is on providing a comprehensive, interpretive synthesis of existing literature to critically assess the effectiveness and limitations of Norway's policy approach.

Ultimately, this methodological design aligns with the article's goal of offering an informed and holistic narrative of how Norway, as a developed welfare state, formulates and applies digital education policy to promote nationwide access and inclusion.

# **Results and Discussion National Digital Strategies**

Norway's approach to digital education has been proactive and comprehensive. One of the earliest milestones was the national strategy "Digitalisation in Basic Education," which treated digital competence as a foundational skill alongside reading, writing, and arithmetic. This was not merely symbolic—digital literacy was curriculum embedded into frameworks, assessment standards, and teacher education policies.

The strategy focused on four pillars: infrastructure, competence development, content availability, and inclusive access. The Norwegian Directorate for Education and Training played a central role, ensuring coordination across municipalities and supporting schools with digital learning tools and professional development programs. Their work was complemented by organizations like the Norwegian Centre for ICT in Education, which facilitated school-level innovation.

OECD reviews emphasized that Norwegian students benefit from widespread access to digital devices but also noted the importance of ongoing pedagogical development to ensure these tools are used effectively (Pont & Skalde, 2013).

The COVID-19 pandemic forced a systemwide transition to digital learning. Fortunately, Norway's previous investments allowed for a relatively smooth pivot. Emergency strategies focused on ensuring all students, regardless of background or location, could access remote instruction. However, this also stressed the system and revealed structural inequalities in school readiness.

In higher education, the Norwegian government's emphasis on digitalization aligns with broader European Higher Education Area (EHEA) policies. Universities were encouraged to implement Learning Management Systems (LMS), digital assessment tools, and academic open access repositories. This was framed not only as a technological reform but as a social commitment to democratizing access to knowledge (Wenaas & Gulbrandsen, 2022).

Moreover, Norway adopted a multi-level governance model that allowed institutions autonomy while maintaining alignment with national goals. This flexibility enabled locally tailored implementations of digital policies, albeit with varying results.

### **Inclusion and Accessibility**

Equity and inclusion are central to Norway's education system, and these values are embedded in digital policy as well. The government has provided financial support for schools to ensure all students receive digital devices, with special grants allocated to rural and disadvantaged regions. The Education Act mandates equal opportunities for all learners,

including those with disabilities, and this has influenced the development of digital teaching materials.

Despite these efforts, accessibility remains a concern. Research by Kimogol and Sanderson (2024) found that students with visual impairments still encounter significant barriers in accessing digital teaching content, such as incompatibility with screen readers and a lack of tactile alternatives (Kimogol & Sanderson, 2024).

The issue of inclusion is particularly relevant for immigrants and refugees. While access has improved, meaningful participation remains uneven. Abamosa et al. (2020) argue that refugee students often face systemic barriers such as limited support for language acquisition, insufficient digital skills training, and cultural insensitivity in content design (Abamosa et al., 2020).

Socioeconomic status also plays a subtle yet important role. While most Norwegian households are digitally connected, disparities in parental education, home learning environments, and digital fluency can affect how effectively students engage with digital learning. Finnvold (2006) demonstrated that such background factors influence access to specialized services, a finding that extends into the education sector (Finnvold, 2006).

To address these challenges, the Norwegian government introduced funding for universal design projects, teacher training in inclusive pedagogy, and multilingual digital resources. However, implementation varies across municipalities, with some lagging in integrating inclusive practices into daily classroom instruction.

# **Institutional Readiness**

Institutional readiness refers to the capacity of schools, colleges, and universities to adopt and sustain digital learning environments. While national policy sets the direction, local authorities and institutions are responsible for day-to-day

execution. This decentralized model results in a mixed landscape.

Primary and secondary schools have seen wide variation in digital maturity. Schools in urban areas tend to have better connectivity, more tech support staff, and greater teacher familiarity with digital tools. Rural schools, although subsidized, often struggle with maintenance, training, and peer networks.

Teacher preparedness is a pivotal factor. Norway has revised teacher education curricula to embed digital competence, but gaps persist in classroom implementation. Many teachers express uncertainty in balancing traditional pedagogy with digital methods, especially when teaching complex or creative subjects. Moreover, continuous professional development, while available, is not consistently prioritized.

Universities, in contrast, show stronger institutional readiness. They benefit from autonomous governance, dedicated IT teams, and broader research capacity. Most institutions have integrated digital tools for lectures, tutorials, and student support services. National platforms like Feide facilitate secure access to digital environments, while open access mandates have broadened content availability.

Yet, smaller institutions—especially vocational schools—struggle to match this readiness. Funding gaps, aging infrastructure, and limited staff capacity hinder their digital transition. These institutions are vital for lifelong learning and retraining programs, making their exclusion a policy concern.

Organizational culture also plays a key role. Institutions with visionary leadership and participatory governance models tend to innovate more effectively. In contrast, where digitalization is seen as a bureaucratic requirement rather than a pedagogical opportunity, adoption is minimal and often resisted.

# **Policy Outcomes and Persistent Challenges**

Norway's digital education reforms have produced substantial gains: high levels of device access, growing teacher engagement, and broad institutional adoption of digital platforms. However, these successes coexist with persistent gaps in quality, impact, and inclusion.

One issue is the overemphasis on access metrics. While it is important that nearly all students have devices and connectivity, these indicators do not capture how well technology is used to enhance learning. There is limited national data on student engagement, learning outcomes, or satisfaction with digital education—especially among marginalized groups.

Policy coherence is another strength that presents challenges. The alignment between ministries, agencies, and institutions enables consistency, but it can also lead to rigidity. Local experimentation is sometimes discouraged by central frameworks that prioritize efficiency over contextual relevance (Solhaug, 2011).

Additionally, the sustainability of digital initiatives is uncertain. Technology evolves rapidly, and schools must continually invest in upgrades, security, and training. Without long-term funding mechanisms, there is a risk that digital tools will become outdated or poorly maintained.

Another persistent issue is the integration of feedback from students and teachers. Policies are often top-down, with insufficient channels for bottom-up input. Participatory policy design could enhance relevance and buy-in, especially in diverse classrooms.

Finally, there is limited formal evaluation of digital content quality. Many open access materials are underused due to lack of alignment with national curricula or user preferences (Wenaas & Gulbrandsen, 2022).

In summary, Norway's digital education policy provides a strong and thoughtful foundation, built on principles of equity and innovation. Yet, bridging the gap between access

and meaningful inclusion remains an ongoing task. The future success of these policies will depend on continuous evaluation, adaptive implementation, and inclusive governance structures.

## Conclusion

Norway's experience in digital education policy provides a compelling model of how advanced welfare states can harness technology to promote inclusive, high-quality learning. Rooted in a long-standing commitment to equity. Norwegian policymakers have framed digitalization not merely as a technological upgrade, but as a systemic transformation aimed improving access, engagement, and opportunity.

This paper has shown that national strategies in Norway have been forward-thinking, coordinated, and responsive to societal needs. Digital competence is now treated as a core literacy, and significant investments have been made in infrastructure, teacher training, and institutional support. Norway's relatively smooth transition to remote learning during the COVID-19 pandemic underscored the value of these early investments.

However, while policy design has been largely coherent and inclusive on paper, persistent gaps remain in implementation and outcomes. Accessibility issues continue to affect students with disabilities. Immigrant and refugee learners often encounter language and cultural barriers, and the digital divide—though narrower than in many countries—still exists in terms of usage quality, especially for students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds.

Institutions vary in their readiness to embrace digital transformation. While universities and large schools are often wellequipped, smaller and rural institutions struggle with staff capacity, infrastructure, and pedagogical innovation. Leadership vision and organizational culture emerge as critical factors in determining the success of digital initiatives.

Moreover, policy success is too often measured in terms of access rather than impact. Norway's education system would benefit from more systematic evaluation of how digital tools affect learning outcomes, engagement, and social inclusion. Participatory policy development—engaging teachers, students, and marginalized communities—could help close the gap between intention and practice.

In conclusion, Norway stands at the forefront of digital education in Europe. Its public policy response has laid a robust foundation for equitable digital learning. Yet, sustaining and deepening this success requires a shift toward more adaptive, inclusive, and outcome-oriented strategies. Continuous feedback, flexible funding, and a renewed emphasis on pedagogy over technology will be key to ensuring that digital education fulfills its promise for all learners.

#### References

Abamosa, J. Y., Hilt, L., & Westrheim, K. (2020). Social inclusion of refugees into higher education in Norway: A critical analysis of Norwegian higher education and integration policies. Policy Futures in Education, 18, 628–647.

Almås, A. G., & Krumsvik, R. J. (2008). Teaching in technology-rich classrooms: Is there a gap between teachers' intentions and ICT practices? Research in Comparative and International Education, 3(2), 103–121.

Andresen, B. B., & van den Brink, K. (2013). Multimedia in education: Adaptive learning and testing. European Commission Report.

Askim, J. (2022). Student financing for social equity in Norway, 1947–2020.

Beck, C. W. (2001). Alternative education and home schooling in Norway. Childhood Education, 77, 356–359.

- Berg, G. A. (2002). Why distance learning? Higher Education Management and Policy, 14(2), 75–87.
- Blikstad-Balas, M. (2012). Digital literacy in upper secondary school What do students use their laptops for during teacher instruction? Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy, 7(2), 81–96.
- Brevik, L. M., & Blikstad-Balas, M. (2020). Students' experiences with remote teaching during COVID-19 in Norway. Journal of Digital Learning, 5(1), 1–12.
- Erstad, O. (2010). Educating the digital generation. Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy, 5(1), 56–71.
- Erstad, O., & Quale, A. (2009). Digital competence in Norwegian teacher education. In T. Bastiaens et al. (Eds.), Proceedings of World Conference on E-Learning.
- Finnvold, J. E. (2006). Access to specialized health care for asthmatic children in Norway: The significance of parents' educational background and social network. Social Science & Medicine, 63(5), 1316–1327.
- Fluck, A., et al. (2016). Arguing for digital technology in school education. Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 25(5), 631–651.
- Gulbrandsen, M. (2019). Research universities and institutional innovation: The case of Norway. Higher Education Quarterly, 73(4), 456–470.
- Hatlevik, O. E., & Christophersen, K. A. (2013). Digital competence at the beginning of upper secondary school. Computers & Education, 63, 240–247.
- Haug, B. S. (2021). The use of digital tools in Norwegian schools during the COVID-19 pandemic. Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research, 65(5), 781–796.
- Hilt, L. T. (2016). Equity and technology in Norwegian classrooms. International

- Journal of Inclusive Education, 20(2), 156–172.
- Hodgson, V., & Spours, K. (2006). Modernising professional learning. Teaching in Higher Education, 11(3), 325–338.
- Iversen, L. (2017). Integration and language education in Norwegian immigration policy documents 1980–2016. Apples: Journal of Applied Language Studies, 11, 101–120.
- Kimogol, S. S., & Sanderson, N. (2024). Accessibility barriers in digital teaching materials for visually impaired students in Norway.
- Krumsvik, R. J. (2009). Situated learning and teachers' digital competence. Education and Information Technologies, 14, 123–138.
- Liu, D., & Solheim, M. C. (2023). Tuition fees for international students in Norway: A policy-debate. Beijing International Review of Education.
- Livingstone, S. (2012). Critical reflections on the benefits of ICT in education. Oxford Review of Education, 38(1), 9–24.
- Norwegian Ministry of Education and Research. (2020). Digitalisation Strategy for Basic Education 2017–2021.
- Pont, B., & Skalde, A. (2013). Education policy outlook in Norway. OECD Publishing.
- Røkenes, F. M., & Krumsvik, R. J. (2014). Development of student teachers' digital competence. Computers & Education, 76, 1–15.
- Schmid, R. F., et al. (2009). Technology's impact on student achievement in higher education.

  Journal of Educational Computing Research, 41(1), 1–23.
- Selwyn, N. (2016). Education and Technology: Key Issues and Debates. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Skaar, H., & Hammer, H. (2013). Writing in digital environments: Norwegian adolescents' perspectives. Literacy, 47(3), 123–130.

- Solhaug, T. (2011). New Public Management in educational reform in Norway. Policy Futures in Education, 9(2), 267–279.
- Sundqvist, P. (2009). The impact of digital media on English vocabulary development. Language Learning & Technology, 13(2), 36–58.
- Tømte, C., & Hatlevik, O. E. (2011). Gender-differences in self-efficacy ICT among Norwegian students. Computers & Education, 56(1), 1–10.
- Utdanningsdirektoratet. (2018). Læreplanverket for Kunnskapsløftet 2020.
- Vibe, N. (2016). The impact of digital technologies on Norwegian schools. Nordic Studies in Education, 36(2), 67–86.
- Voogt, J., Knezek, G., Cox, M., Knezek, D., & ten Brummelhuis, A. (2013). Under which conditions does ICT have a positive effect on teaching and learning? A call to action. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 29(1), 4–14.
- Wenaas, L., & Gulbrandsen, M. (2022). Open access in Norwegian universities. PLoS ONE, 17.
- Wilhelmsen, J., & Ørnes, H. (2018). Digital competence in teacher education. Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy, 13(3), 123–138.
- Ytreberg, E. (2009). Media use and learning in Norwegian classrooms. Nordicom Review, 30(2), 53–70.
- Østerud, S. (2004). Digital literacy and the implications for education. Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research, 48(1), 29–46.
- Øystein, J. (2007). ICT in Norwegian education policy. Education Inquiry, 8(4), 243–265.