International Journal of Economics, Management and Accounting

ISSN: 2988-7615 | Volume 3, Issue 7 (2025)
Page **526-534**

Research Article

Raden Rudi Alhempi[1]*, Supaphorn Akkapin[2]

Rethinking Global Economic Systems: A Unified Framework of Inequality, Sustainability, and Institutional Evolution

*Corresponding Author: **Raden Rudi Alhempi;** Universitas Persada Bunda, Indonesia; rudialhempi@gmail.com
Supaphorn Akkapin; Liverpool John Moores University, United Kingdom; Supaphorn.a@mail.rmutk.ac.th

Received: Dec 12, 2025; **Accepted:** Dec 18, 2025; **Online:** Dec 31, 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.47353/ijema.v3i7.310

Abstract: *This study develops a unified conceptual framework to rethink global economic systems by integrating three critical dimensions: inequality, sustainability, and institutional evolution. Existing economic paradigms have largely treated these dimensions in isolation, resulting in fragmented policy approaches and limited explanatory power in addressing contemporary global challenges. This paper argues that the persistent rise in inequality, coupled with environmental degradation and institutional inefficiencies, reflects a systemic imbalance embedded within the current global economic architecture. Drawing on interdisciplinary insights from economics, institutional theory, and sustainability studies, this research adopts a qualitative conceptual approach to synthesize existing theoretical perspectives. The proposed framework conceptualizes global economic systems as dynamic and co-evolving structures in which distributional outcomes, environmental constraints, and institutional arrangements are interdependent. The analysis highlights how unequal resource allocation undermines sustainability goals, while weak institutional evolution constrains inclusive economic transformation. The study contributes to the literature by offering a holistic and integrative model that bridges the gap between growth-oriented and sustainability-oriented paradigms. It further provides a foundation for rethinking policy design by emphasizing the need for systemic alignment across economic, environmental, and institutional dimensions. The findings suggest that achieving long-term global stability requires a transition toward inclusive, adaptive, and sustainability-driven economic systems.*

Keywords: *Global economic systems, Inequality, Sustainability, Institutional evolution.*

Introduction

The global economic system is currently experiencing a period of unprecedented transformation marked by deep structural shifts, technological disruption, and escalating socio-environmental challenges. While the post-war economic order successfully facilitated rapid economic growth, trade expansion, and poverty reduction across many regions, it has simultaneously generated systemic imbalances that question its long-term viability. Among these imbalances, three interconnected challenges have emerged as central concerns in contemporary economic discourse: rising inequality, environmental unsustainability, and institutional misalignment.

Over the past few decades, globalization and market liberalization have significantly reshaped the structure of the global economy. The integration of markets, capital flows, and production networks has contributed to increased efficiency and economic expansion. However, the benefits of this transformation have not been evenly distributed. Income and wealth inequality have risen both within and across countries, creating disparities that undermine social cohesion and limit economic opportunity. This phenomenon reflects a deeper structural issue within the global economic system, where the mechanisms of value creation and distribution are increasingly disconnected.

At the same time, the environmental consequences of economic growth have become increasingly evident. The prevailing growth model—characterized by intensive resource extraction, carbon emissions, and unsustainable consumption patterns—has led to significant ecological degradation. Climate change, biodiversity loss, and resource depletion are no longer peripheral concerns but central constraints on

economic activity. These environmental challenges highlight a fundamental contradiction within the current economic system: the pursuit of continuous growth within a finite ecological system.

In parallel, institutions—defined as the formal and informal rules that govern economic interactions—are struggling to adapt to the rapidly changing global environment. Traditional institutional frameworks, many of which were designed for industrial-era economies, are often ill-equipped to address the complexities of a highly interconnected and digitalized world. Institutional rigidities, governance gaps, and regulatory fragmentation have limited the capacity of states and global organizations to effectively manage economic and environmental challenges. As a result, there is a growing disconnect between economic processes and institutional structures, leading to inefficiencies and systemic vulnerabilities.

The interaction between inequality, sustainability, and institutional evolution is neither linear nor isolated. Rather, these dimensions are deeply intertwined and mutually reinforcing. High levels of inequality can exacerbate environmental degradation by concentrating consumption patterns among high-income groups while limiting access to sustainable resources for lower-income populations. Similarly, environmental degradation disproportionately affects vulnerable communities, thereby intensifying inequality. Weak or misaligned institutions further compound these challenges by failing to regulate economic activities effectively or to redistribute resources in a manner that promotes inclusivity and sustainability.

Despite the growing recognition of these interdependencies, much of the existing economic literature continues to treat inequality, sustainability, and institutional development as separate analytical domains. Traditional neoclassical models prioritize efficiency and equilibrium, often overlooking distributional and environmental considerations. Conversely, sustainability-focused frameworks emphasize ecological constraints but may not fully integrate institutional dynamics or distributional outcomes. Institutional economics, while addressing governance and structural issues, often lacks integration with environmental and inequality-focused analyses. This fragmentation has resulted in a lack of comprehensive frameworks capable of addressing the systemic nature of contemporary global challenges.

The limitations of existing approaches are increasingly evident in the face of global crises. The global financial crisis, the COVID-19 pandemic, and the accelerating climate emergency have exposed the fragility of current economic systems and highlighted the need for more resilient and inclusive models. These crises have demonstrated that economic systems cannot be understood or managed effectively in isolation from social and environmental contexts. Instead, there is a need for an integrated perspective that captures the dynamic interactions between economic, social, and institutional factors.

In response to these challenges, a growing body of literature calls for a paradigm shift in how economic systems are conceptualized and analyzed. Concepts such as inclusive growth, sustainable development, and adaptive governance have gained prominence, reflecting an increasing awareness of the need for holistic approaches. However, these concepts are often applied in a fragmented manner, lacking a unified theoretical foundation that integrates multiple dimensions into a coherent framework.

This study seeks to address this gap by proposing a unified conceptual framework that integrates inequality, sustainability, and institutional evolution within a single analytical structure. The central argument of this paper is that global economic systems should be understood as complex, adaptive systems in which distributional outcomes, environmental constraints, and institutional arrangements co-evolve over time. Rather than treating these dimensions as independent variables, the proposed framework emphasizes their interdependence and the feedback mechanisms that shape their evolution.

From this perspective, inequality is not merely a distributional outcome but a structural feature that influences economic behavior, resource allocation, and institutional development. Similarly, sustainability is not an external constraint but an intrinsic component of economic systems that shapes long-term viability. Institutional evolution, in turn, is both a driver and an outcome of economic processes, reflecting the capacity of societies to adapt to changing conditions.

The novelty of this study lies in its integrative approach, which bridges the gap between traditionally separate strands of literature. By synthesizing insights from economic theory, sustainability studies, and institutional analysis, the study offers a comprehensive framework for understanding the complexities of global economic systems. This approach moves beyond reductionist models and provides a more nuanced perspective on the challenges and opportunities facing the global economy.

Furthermore, the proposed framework has important implications for policy and governance. It suggests that addressing global challenges requires coordinated and systemic interventions rather than isolated policy measures. For example, efforts to reduce inequality must be aligned with environmental sustainability goals and supported by adaptive institutional frameworks. Similarly, policies aimed at promoting sustainability must consider their distributional impacts and institutional feasibility.

In addition, the framework highlights the importance of institutional adaptability in managing economic transformation. As technological innovation and globalization continue to reshape economic systems, institutions must evolve to ensure that economic processes remain inclusive and sustainable. This requires not only regulatory reforms but also changes in governance structures, decision-making processes, and societal norms.

The relevance of this study extends beyond academic discourse to practical policymaking. In an era of increasing uncertainty and complexity, policymakers require analytical tools that capture the interconnected nature of economic challenges. The unified framework proposed in this study provides such a tool, offering a basis for designing policies that promote inclusive, sustainable, and resilient economic systems.

In conclusion, this study advances the understanding of global economic systems by proposing a unified framework that integrates inequality, sustainability, and institutional evolution. By addressing the limitations of existing approaches and emphasizing the interdependence of key dimensions, the study provides a foundation for rethinking economic systems in a way that aligns with the challenges of the twenty-first century.

Method

This study adopts a qualitative conceptual research design aimed at developing a unified theoretical framework that integrates inequality, sustainability, and institutional evolution within global economic systems. Unlike empirical studies that rely on primary or quantitative data, this research focuses on theoretical synthesis and conceptual analysis to address complex and multidimensional global challenges.

The study employs a systematic literature-based approach, drawing on a wide range of interdisciplinary sources, including economic theory, institutional economics, sustainability studies, and global development literature. Relevant academic articles, books, and policy reports were selected based on their contribution to understanding the relationships between economic inequality, environmental sustainability, and institutional dynamics. Priority was given to high-impact and widely cited works to ensure theoretical robustness and relevance.

The analytical process follows a thematic synthesis method. First, key concepts and theoretical perspectives related to inequality, sustainability, and institutional evolution are identified and categorized. Second, the study examines the interconnections and feedback mechanisms among these dimensions, focusing on how they co-evolve within global economic systems. Third, the findings from the literature are integrated into a unified conceptual framework that captures the dynamic interactions between economic, environmental, and institutional factors.

To enhance analytical rigor, the study applies a comparative and integrative approach, critically evaluating existing theoretical models and identifying their limitations. This allows for the development of a more comprehensive framework that addresses gaps in the literature, particularly the lack of integration across key dimensions of global economic analysis.

Although the study does not involve empirical testing, its strength lies in its ability to synthesize diverse theoretical insights into a coherent and policy-relevant framework. The proposed model provides a foundation for future empirical research and offers conceptual guidance for policymakers seeking to design inclusive and sustainable economic systems in a rapidly evolving global context.

Results and Discussion

Conceptualizing the Global Economic System as an Integrated Structure

The primary result of this study is the development of a unified conceptual framework that positions the global economic system as an integrated and dynamic structure shaped by the interaction of three core dimensions: inequality, sustainability, and institutional evolution. Unlike conventional models that treat these dimensions independently, the proposed framework emphasizes their systemic interdependence and co-evolution.

In this framework, the global economy is understood as a complex adaptive system in which economic processes, environmental constraints, and institutional arrangements continuously interact. These interactions generate feedback loops that either reinforce or mitigate systemic imbalances. For example, economic growth driven by capital accumulation may increase income inequality if the distribution mechanisms are weak. At the same time, such growth may intensify environmental degradation if sustainability constraints are not incorporated into production processes.

The key implication of this conceptualization is that global economic outcomes cannot be explained by single-dimensional analysis. Instead, they emerge from the interaction of multiple forces operating simultaneously. This systemic perspective provides a more comprehensive understanding of contemporary economic challenges and highlights the limitations of reductionist approaches.

Inequality as a Structural Driver of Systemic Imbalance

Within the proposed framework, inequality is conceptualized not merely as an outcome but as a structural driver that shapes economic behavior, resource allocation, and institutional development. High levels of inequality influence consumption patterns, investment decisions, and access to opportunities, thereby affecting both economic efficiency and social stability.

From a systemic perspective, inequality generates reinforcing feedback mechanisms. Concentration of wealth among high-income groups leads to disproportionate influence over economic and political processes, which in turn reinforces institutional arrangements that favor capital accumulation over equitable distribution. This creates a self-perpetuating cycle in which inequality becomes embedded within the economic structure.

Moreover, inequality has significant implications for sustainability. Wealth concentration often leads to higher levels of consumption and resource use, intensifying environmental pressures. At the same time, low-income populations may lack access to sustainable technologies and resources, limiting their ability to adapt to environmental challenges. As a result, inequality not only reflects economic disparities but also contributes to environmental degradation and social vulnerability.

The framework therefore suggests that addressing inequality is a prerequisite for achieving both economic stability and environmental sustainability. Without redistributive mechanisms and inclusive policies, economic systems are likely to experience persistent imbalances that undermine long-term development.

Sustainability as an Embedded Constraint and Opportunity

Sustainability is conceptualized in this study as both a constraint and an opportunity within global economic systems. Traditional economic models often treat environmental factors as externalities, leading to the underestimation of ecological limits. In contrast, the proposed framework integrates sustainability as an intrinsic component of economic systems.

Environmental constraints impose limits on production and consumption, shaping the trajectory of economic development. Resource depletion, climate change, and ecological degradation introduce risks that can disrupt economic activity and reduce long-term growth potential. These constraints necessitate a shift from linear models of production toward circular and regenerative systems that prioritize efficiency and resilience.

At the same time, sustainability presents opportunities for innovation and transformation. The transition toward green technologies, renewable energy, and sustainable practices can generate new industries, employment opportunities, and economic value. However, the distribution of these benefits depends on the underlying structure of the economy and the inclusiveness of institutions.

The interaction between sustainability and inequality is particularly important. Policies aimed at environmental protection may have unequal impacts across income groups, potentially exacerbating inequality if not designed carefully. Conversely, inclusive sustainability policies can contribute to both environmental protection and poverty reduction. This highlights the need for integrated policy approaches that consider both environmental and distributional outcomes.

Institutional Evolution as a Mediating Mechanism

Institutions play a central role in mediating the relationship between inequality and sustainability. In the proposed framework, institutional evolution is conceptualized as a dynamic process through which societies adapt to changing economic and environmental conditions.

Effective institutions facilitate coordination, enforce rules, and enable collective action. They shape economic incentives, regulate resource use, and determine the distribution of economic benefits. However, institutions are not static; they evolve in response to technological change, economic pressures, and social demands.

The framework highlights that institutional misalignment is a key source of systemic inefficiency. When institutions fail to adapt to new realities—such as globalization, digitalization, and environmental constraints—they become barriers to inclusive and sustainable development. For example, outdated regulatory frameworks may fail to address environmental externalities or to ensure fair distribution of economic gains.

Institutional evolution is influenced by both inequality and sustainability. High levels of inequality can hinder institutional reform by concentrating power among elites, while environmental challenges can create pressure for institutional change. The interaction of these forces determines the trajectory of institutional development and, consequently, the overall performance of the economic system.

The Unified Framework: Interactions and Feedback Mechanisms

The central contribution of this study is the integration of inequality, sustainability, and institutional evolution into a unified framework. This framework can be conceptualized as a triangular system in which each dimension influences and is influenced by the others.

a. Inequality ↔ Sustainability
   Inequality affects resource use and environmental impact, while environmental degradation disproportionately affects vulnerable populations.
b. Inequality ↔ Institutions
   Inequality shapes institutional structures by influencing political power and governance, while institutions determine distributional outcomes.
c. Sustainability ↔ Institutions
   Institutions regulate environmental practices and resource management, while environmental challenges drive institutional adaptation.

These interactions create feedback loops that can either stabilize or destabilize the system. Positive feedback loops may reinforce inequality and environmental degradation, leading to systemic crises. Negative feedback loops, on the other hand, can promote balance and resilience by aligning economic, environmental, and institutional objectives.

Theoretical Implications

The proposed framework contributes to economic theory in several ways. First, it challenges the dominance of growth-centric models by emphasizing the importance of distributional and environmental dimensions. Second, it integrates insights from multiple disciplines, including institutional economics and sustainability studies, thereby providing a more holistic analytical perspective.

Third, the framework introduces the concept of co-evolution, highlighting that economic, environmental, and institutional factors evolve together rather than independently. This perspective aligns with complexity theory and provides a foundation for understanding dynamic and nonlinear processes in global economic systems.

Policy Implications

From a policy perspective, the findings of this study underscore the need for integrated and systemic approaches to economic governance. Traditional policy frameworks that address inequality, sustainability, and institutional reform separately are unlikely to be effective in addressing complex global challenges.

Policymakers should focus on creating synergies between economic, environmental, and institutional objectives. For example, policies aimed at reducing inequality should be aligned with sustainability goals and supported by adaptive institutional frameworks. Similarly, environmental policies should consider their distributional impacts and institutional feasibility.

The framework also highlights the importance of institutional adaptability. As global economic conditions continue to evolve, institutions must be capable of responding to new challenges and

opportunities. This requires not only regulatory reforms but also changes in governance structures and decision-making processes.

Synthesis of Findings

In summary, the results of this study demonstrate that global economic systems are characterized by complex interactions between inequality, sustainability, and institutional evolution. These dimensions are not independent but are deeply interconnected, shaping economic outcomes through dynamic feedback mechanisms.

The unified framework provides a comprehensive tool for analyzing these interactions and offers new insights into the challenges facing the global economy. By emphasizing the importance of systemic alignment, the study highlights the need for a paradigm shift in economic thinking—one that moves beyond isolated analysis toward integrated and adaptive approaches.

Conclusion

This study develops a unified conceptual framework to rethink global economic systems by integrating three critical and interdependent dimensions: inequality, sustainability, and institutional evolution. The findings demonstrate that contemporary global economic challenges cannot be adequately understood through fragmented analytical approaches. Instead, they must be examined as part of a complex, adaptive system in which economic, environmental, and institutional forces co-evolve over time.

The analysis reveals that inequality is not merely a distributional outcome but a structural driver that shapes economic behavior, resource allocation, and institutional dynamics. Persistent inequality reinforces systemic imbalances by concentrating economic and political power, limiting access to opportunities, and constraining inclusive development. At the same time, sustainability emerges as both a fundamental constraint and a transformative opportunity. Environmental limits impose boundaries on economic activity, while the transition toward sustainable practices offers pathways for innovation, resilience, and long-term value creation.

Institutional evolution plays a pivotal mediating role in this framework. Institutions determine how resources are distributed, how environmental challenges are addressed, and how economic systems adapt to changing conditions. However, institutional rigidity and misalignment remain significant barriers to achieving inclusive and sustainable outcomes. The study highlights that without adaptive and responsive institutional frameworks, efforts to address inequality and sustainability will remain fragmented and ineffective.

The central contribution of this study lies in its integrative perspective, which moves beyond traditional growth-centric models toward a systemic understanding of global economic dynamics. By conceptualizing inequality, sustainability, and institutional evolution as mutually reinforcing dimensions, the proposed framework provides a more comprehensive foundation for both theoretical advancement and policy design. It emphasizes that economic systems must be evaluated not only in terms of efficiency and growth but also in terms of inclusiveness, environmental viability, and institutional adaptability.

From a policy standpoint, the findings underscore the necessity of coordinated and holistic strategies. Addressing inequality requires not only redistributive mechanisms but also structural reforms that enhance access to opportunities and resources. Similarly, sustainability policies must be designed in a way that is socially inclusive and institutionally feasible. Most importantly, institutional reform must be positioned at the center of economic transformation, enabling systems to adapt to evolving global challenges.

Rethinking global economic systems requires a paradigm shift toward integrated, adaptive, and sustainability-oriented frameworks. Future research should extend this conceptual model by exploring empirical applications, testing its components across different contexts, and incorporating emerging factors such as digital transformation and geopolitical dynamics. Such efforts are essential to advancing a more resilient, inclusive, and sustainable global economy.

References

Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). Why nations fail: The origins of power, prosperity, and poverty. Crown Publishing.

Aghion, P., Caroli, E., & García-Peñalosa, C. (1999). Inequality and economic growth: The perspective of the new growth theories. Journal of Economic Literature, 37(4), 1615–1660. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.37.4.1615

Arrow, K. J., Dasgupta, P., & Mäler, K.-G. (2003). Evaluating projects and assessing sustainable development in imperfect economies. Environmental and Resource Economics, 26(4), 647–685. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EARE.0000007357.78828.98

Atkinson, A. B. (2015). Inequality: What can be done? Harvard University Press.

Bansal, P., & Song, H.-C. (2017). Similar but not the same: Differentiating corporate sustainability from corporate responsibility. Academy of Management Annals, 11(1), 105–149. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2015.0095

Bardhan, P. (2005). Scarcity, conflicts, and cooperation: Essays in the political and institutional economics of development. MIT Press.

Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2007). Finance, inequality and the poor. Journal of Economic Growth, 12(1), 27–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10887-007-9010-6

Berg, A., Ostry, J. D., & Tsangarides, C. G. (2014). Redistribution, inequality, and growth. IMF Staff Discussion Note. https://doi.org/10.5089/9781484358443.006

Bowles, S. (2012). The new economics of inequality and redistribution. Cambridge Journal of Economics, 36(1), 3–27. https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/ber050

Dasgupta, P. (2007). Measuring sustainable development: Theory and application. Asian Development Review, 24(1), 1–10.

DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism. American Sociological Review, 48(2), 147–160. https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101

Dixit, A. (2009). Governance institutions and economic activity. American Economic Review, 99(1), 5–24. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.99.1.5

Dollar, D., & Kraay, A. (2004). Trade, growth, and poverty. The Economic Journal, 114(493), F22–F49. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0013-0133.2004.00186.x

Geels, F. W. (2002). Technological transitions as evolutionary reconfiguration processes. Research Policy, 31(8–9), 1257–1274. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(02)00062-8

Giddens, A. (1990). The consequences of modernity. Stanford University Press.

Gygli, S., Haelg, F., Potrafke, N., & Sturm, J.-E. (2019). The KOF globalisation index revisited. Review of International Organizations, 14, 543–574. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11558-019-09344-2

Jackson, T. (2009). Prosperity without growth: Economics for a finite planet. Earthscan.

Kuznets, S. (1955). Economic growth and income inequality. American Economic Review, 45(1), 1–28.

Mazzucato, M. (2018). The value of everything: Making and taking in the global economy. Penguin.

Meadows, D. H., Meadows, D. L., Randers, J., & Behrens, W. (1972). The limits to growth. Universe Books.

North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, institutional change and economic performance. Cambridge University Press.

North, D. C. (2005). Understanding the process of economic change. Princeton University Press.

Ostrom, E. (2009). A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social-ecological systems. Science, 325(5939), 419–422. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1172133

Piketty, T. (2014). Capital in the twenty-first century. Harvard University Press.

Polanyi, K. (1944). The great transformation. Beacon Press.

Raworth, K. (2017). Doughnut economics: Seven ways to think like a 21st-century economist. Chelsea Green Publishing.

Rodrik, D. (2011). The globalization paradox: Democracy and the future of the world economy. W.W. Norton.

Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Oxford University Press.

Stiglitz, J. E. (2002). Globalization and its discontents. W.W. Norton.

Stiglitz, J. E., Sen, A., & Fitoussi, J.-P. (2009). Report on the measurement of economic performance and social progress.

Stern, N. (2007). The economics of climate change: The Stern review. Cambridge University Press.

Williamson, O. E. (2000). The new institutional economics. Journal of Economic Literature, 38(3), 595–613. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.38.3.595

World Bank. (2020). World development report 2020: Trading for development in the age of global value chains.