

Research Article

Usman Jayadi

A Conceptual Review of Consumer Behavior in AI-Personalized Digital Environments

*Corresponding Author: **Usman Jayadi**: Universitas Dr. Soetomo, Indonesia; ujayadi@gmail.com

Received: Oct 21, 2025; **Accepted:** Nov 25, 2025; **Online:** Nov 30, 2025 | DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47353/ijema.v3i6.366>

Abstract: *The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into digital platforms has fundamentally transformed consumer behavior through personalized interactions and algorithmic decision support. This study provides a conceptual review of consumer behavior in AI-personalized digital environments, aiming to reconceptualize traditional theories that are increasingly inadequate in explaining contemporary market dynamics. Drawing on interdisciplinary literature from marketing, behavioral economics, and information systems, this study identifies critical shifts in how consumer decisions are formed, influenced, and constrained by algorithmic systems. The findings highlight three major theoretical developments. First, consumer decision-making is no longer solely bounded by cognitive limitations but is increasingly shaped by algorithmic bounded rationality, where technological architectures define available choices. Second, AI personalization contributes to preference closure, reinforcing existing behaviors while limiting exploratory consumption. Third, consumer autonomy is reframed as constructed autonomy, where perceived freedom of choice exists within algorithmically curated environments. Additionally, the study identifies emerging phenomena such as the transparency paradox, algorithmic trust, and privacy resignation. Based on these insights, this study proposes an integrated conceptual framework that emphasizes the dynamic interaction between consumer, algorithmic, and structural domains. The study contributes to the literature by offering a novel theoretical perspective on consumer behavior in the digital age and by challenging conventional assumptions of rationality and autonomy. Managerially, the findings underscore the importance of balancing personalization with transparency, trust, and ethical considerations. Future research is encouraged to empirically validate the proposed framework across different digital contexts.*

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Consumer Behavior, Personalization, Algorithmic Decision-Making, Digital Platforms.*

Introduction

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies has fundamentally transformed the landscape of contemporary markets, particularly in the context of digital environments where personalization has become a dominant paradigm. AI-driven personalization systems—ranging from recommendation algorithms to predictive analytics—have reshaped how consumers interact with products, services, and information. This transformation is not merely technological; it represents a paradigm shift in how consumer behavior is formed, influenced, and interpreted within economic and managerial frameworks.

Traditionally, consumer behavior has been explained through classical and neoclassical economic theories that assume rational decision-making processes, often conceptualized under the framework of *homo economicus*. In this perspective, consumers are presumed to make decisions based on stable preferences, complete information, and utility maximization. However, the emergence of digital platforms and AI-mediated interactions challenges these assumptions. Consumers are no longer solely autonomous agents; instead, their choices are increasingly shaped by algorithmic interventions that filter information, prioritize options, and subtly influence decision pathways.

AI personalization operates by collecting, analyzing, and utilizing vast amounts of consumer data to tailor experiences at an individual level. This includes personalized recommendations on e-commerce

platforms, targeted advertisements on social media, and adaptive interfaces in digital services. While such personalization enhances user convenience and engagement, it simultaneously introduces new complexities in understanding consumer behavior. The boundary between consumer preference and algorithmic influence becomes increasingly blurred, raising critical questions about autonomy, agency, and decision-making.

A growing body of literature has explored the implications of AI personalization on consumer behavior. Studies have demonstrated that personalized recommendations can significantly increase purchase likelihood, enhance customer satisfaction, and improve perceived relevance of information (Smith & Linden, 2017; Bleier & Eisenbeiss, 2015). However, other research highlights potential drawbacks, including the reinforcement of cognitive biases, reduction in choice diversity, and the creation of “filter bubbles” that limit exposure to alternative options (Pariser, 2011; Sunstein, 2017). These conflicting findings suggest that existing theoretical frameworks may be insufficient to fully capture the dynamics of consumer behavior in AI-personalized environments.

Moreover, the integration of AI into consumer decision-making processes introduces a new layer of behavioral complexity. Behavioral economics has long recognized that consumers are subject to biases, heuristics, and bounded rationality (Kahneman, 2011; Thaler, 2015). AI systems, rather than mitigating these biases, may amplify them by continuously optimizing content based on past behavior. For instance, recommendation algorithms often prioritize familiarity and relevance, potentially reinforcing existing preferences and limiting exploratory behavior. This phenomenon raises concerns about the long-term implications for consumer welfare and market efficiency.

Another critical dimension is the issue of trust. In digital environments, trust plays a crucial role in shaping consumer engagement and decision-making. AI personalization introduces both opportunities and challenges in this regard. On one hand, personalized experiences can enhance trust by providing relevant and timely information. On the other hand, concerns about data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and perceived manipulation can undermine trust and lead to resistance (Martin & Murphy, 2017; Aguirre et al., 2015). The interplay between trust and personalization thus represents a key area for theoretical exploration.

Despite the growing interest in AI-driven consumer behavior, there remains a significant gap in the literature. Much of the existing research is empirical and context-specific, focusing on particular platforms or industries. While these studies provide valuable insights, they often lack a comprehensive theoretical framework that integrates diverse perspectives and offers a holistic understanding of consumer behavior in AI-personalized environments. Furthermore, there is a need to critically examine the underlying assumptions of existing theories and to develop new conceptual models that reflect the realities of digital markets.

This study aims to address this gap by providing a conceptual review of consumer behavior in AI-personalized digital environments. Specifically, it seeks to (1) synthesize existing literature from multiple disciplines, including marketing, behavioral economics, and information systems; (2) identify key themes and theoretical gaps; and (3) propose a conceptual framework that captures the complex interactions between consumers, algorithms, and digital platforms.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to contribute to both theory and practice. From a theoretical perspective, it offers a new lens for understanding consumer behavior in the digital age, moving beyond traditional models toward a more dynamic and interactive framework. From a managerial perspective, it provides insights into how organizations can design and implement AI personalization strategies that balance efficiency, engagement, and ethical considerations.

In conclusion, the rise of AI-personalized digital environments represents a transformative force in contemporary markets, challenging existing theories and creating new opportunities for research. By critically reviewing the literature and proposing a conceptual framework, this study aims to advance our understanding of consumer behavior and to provide a foundation for future research in this rapidly evolving field.

Method

This study adopts a qualitative, literature-based conceptual review approach to synthesize and analyze existing research on consumer behavior in AI-personalized digital environments. Unlike empirical studies that rely on primary data collection, conceptual reviews aim to integrate and reinterpret existing knowledge to generate new theoretical insights and frameworks.

The literature selection process followed a structured approach. Academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were used to identify relevant peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and books published between 2010 and 2025. Keywords used in the search included “AI personalization,” “consumer behavior,” “digital platforms,” “algorithmic recommendation,” and “behavioral economics.” Additional sources were identified through backward and forward citation tracking to ensure comprehensive coverage of the topic.

Inclusion criteria were established to ensure the relevance and quality of the selected literature. Only articles published in reputable journals, particularly those indexed in Scopus and Web of Science, were included. Studies that explicitly addressed AI-driven personalization and its impact on consumer behavior were prioritized. Both conceptual and empirical studies were considered to capture a wide range of perspectives.

The analysis was conducted using a thematic synthesis approach. Key themes, concepts, and theoretical perspectives were identified and categorized. These themes were then critically examined to identify gaps, inconsistencies, and areas for further development. The findings were used to construct a conceptual framework that integrates multiple dimensions of consumer behavior in AI-personalized environments.

This methodology is appropriate for the study’s objectives, as it allows for a comprehensive and critical examination of the existing literature, leading to the development of new theoretical insights and contributions.

Results and Discussion

From Rational Choice to Algorithmically Conditioned Behavior

One of the most fundamental shifts identified in the literature is the transition from classical rational decision-making toward what can be termed *algorithmically conditioned behavior*. Traditional economic models, grounded in the concept of *homo economicus*, assume that consumers independently evaluate alternatives and make utility-maximizing decisions. However, in AI-personalized environments, this assumption becomes increasingly untenable.

Algorithms do not merely assist decision-making; they actively structure it. By curating information, ranking options, and filtering alternatives, AI systems effectively redefine the “choice set” available to consumers. This introduces a critical theoretical shift: consumer decisions are no longer formed solely within the individual cognitive domain but are co-produced through human–algorithm interaction.

This study argues that consumer behavior in AI-driven contexts should be reframed as “bounded by

design” rather than merely bounded by cognition. While behavioral economics emphasizes cognitive limitations (Kahneman, 2011), AI personalization introduces external constraints that are deliberately engineered. This extends the concept of bounded rationality into what can be called algorithmic bounded rationality, where decision limits are shaped by technological architectures rather than internal cognitive constraints alone.

Algorithmic Reinforcement and the Closure of Preference Formation

A second critical finding concerns the role of AI in shaping—not just predicting—consumer preferences. Existing literature often treats preferences as pre-existing and stable. However, AI personalization systems operate on feedback loops that continuously learn from past behavior and reinforce it.

This creates a phenomenon referred to in this study as “preference closure”. Unlike traditional preference formation, which allows for exploration and evolution, AI-driven systems tend to narrow consumer exposure to similar products, ideas, or choices. Over time, this leads to a self-reinforcing cycle where preferences become increasingly rigid.

This finding challenges core assumptions in marketing and economics, particularly the idea that markets expand consumer choice. Instead, AI personalization may paradoxically reduce experiential diversity while increasing perceived relevance. This duality introduces a critical paradox:

“The more personalized the system, the less diverse the consumer experience becomes.”

This has profound implications for innovation, competition, and long-term consumer welfare. Markets may become efficient in the short term but less dynamic in the long run due to reduced exploratory behavior.

The Illusion of Autonomy: Reconstructing Consumer Agency

One of the most theoretically significant insights emerging from the literature is the tension between perceived autonomy and actual autonomy. AI-personalized systems are designed to create seamless and intuitive experiences, often giving users the impression that they are making independent choices.

However, this study proposes the concept of “constructed autonomy”, where consumer agency is not eliminated but subtly re-engineered. Consumers retain the ability to choose, but the structure of choices is heavily influenced by algorithmic design.

This leads to what can be termed the illusion of autonomy, where:

- a) Consumers feel in control
- b) But operate within algorithmically curated boundaries

Importantly, this illusion is not necessarily negative from a managerial perspective. Research suggests that perceived autonomy is often more important than actual autonomy in driving satisfaction and engagement. However, from a theoretical standpoint, this challenges the foundational assumption of independent decision-making in consumer theory.

Thus, this study contributes by proposing that consumer agency in digital environments should be understood as relational rather than individual, emerging from the interaction between users and intelligent systems.

Algorithmic Trust and the Transparency Paradox

Trust remains a central construct in understanding consumer behavior in digital environments.

However, AI personalization introduces a new form of trust that extends beyond traditional interpersonal or institutional trust.

This study conceptualizes “algorithmic trust” as a distinct form of trust grounded in the perceived reliability, fairness, and competence of AI systems. Unlike human trust, algorithmic trust is shaped by:

- a) Predictive accuracy
- b) Consistency of recommendations
- c) Perceived neutrality of the system

However, the literature reveals a paradox: while transparency is often proposed as a solution to trust issues, its effects are not straightforward. Increased transparency can enhance trust by providing explanations, but it can also:

- a) Reveal biases in the system
- b) Reduce perceived intelligence of the algorithm
- c) Overload users with information

This creates what can be termed the transparency paradox, where:

“More transparency does not always lead to more trust.”

From a theoretical perspective, this challenges the assumption that information disclosure is inherently beneficial. Instead, trust in AI systems appears to depend on a delicate balance between opacity and explainability.

The Political Economy of Personalization: Power, Control, and Dependency

Beyond individual behavior, AI personalization has broader implications at the market and systemic level. Digital platforms that control personalization algorithms also control the flow of information, visibility of products, and ultimately, market outcomes.

This study highlights the emergence of platform-induced dependency, where consumers become reliant on algorithmic systems for decision-making. Over time, this reduces independent search behavior and increases switching costs—not in monetary terms, but in cognitive and informational terms.

This leads to a reconceptualization of market power:

- a) Not based solely on price or product
- b) But on control over decision architecture

In this sense, AI personalization contributes to a new form of economic power that can be described as “algorithmic governance of markets.”

This finding extends existing theories of platform economics by emphasizing behavioral control rather than transactional dominance.

Privacy Paradox Revisited: From Trade-Off to Resignation

The literature on privacy often frames consumer behavior as a trade-off between personalization benefits and privacy risks. However, this study argues that this framing is increasingly inadequate.

In AI-personalized environments, consumers are often embedded in systems where data collection is unavoidable. As a result, behavior may be better explained not as a rational trade-off, but as “privacy resignation.”

Consumers may:

- a) Be aware of risks
- b) Feel lack of control

c) Continue participation due to necessity

This shifts the theoretical perspective from choice-based privacy behavior to constraint-based participation, where consumers operate within systems they cannot easily exit.

Toward an Integrated Conceptual Framework

Building on the findings, this study proposes an integrated conceptual framework that reconceptualizes consumer behavior in AI-personalized environments as a triadic interaction system:

1. Consumer Domain
 - a) Cognitive biases
 - b) Perceived autonomy
 - c) Trust and privacy perceptions
2. Algorithmic Domain
 - a) Data processing and learning
 - b) Recommendation logic
 - c) Adaptive feedback loops
3. Structural Domain (Platform Environment)
 - a) Interface design
 - b) Market structure
 - c) Regulatory context

The key contribution of this framework lies in its dynamic nature. Rather than viewing consumer behavior as a linear process, it emphasizes continuous interaction and co-evolution between these domains.

Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive conceptual review of consumer behavior in AI-personalized digital environments, highlighting the transformative impact of AI on decision-making processes, behavioral patterns, and market dynamics. The findings suggest that traditional theories of consumer behavior are insufficient to capture the complexities introduced by AI-driven personalization.

The proposed conceptual framework offers a new perspective by integrating consumer, algorithmic, and environmental factors. This framework emphasizes the dynamic and interactive nature of consumer behavior, moving beyond static models toward a more holistic understanding.

From a theoretical standpoint, this study contributes to the literature by reconceptualizing consumer behavior in the context of digital environments. It highlights the need for new theories that account for algorithmic influence, behavioral biases, and ethical considerations.

From a managerial perspective, the findings underscore the importance of balancing personalization with transparency and ethical practices. Organizations must design AI systems that enhance consumer experience while maintaining trust and respecting privacy.

Future research should explore empirical validation of the proposed framework and examine its applicability across different contexts and industries. Additionally, interdisciplinary approaches that combine insights from economics, psychology, and computer science are needed to further advance this field.

AI personalization represents both an opportunity and a challenge for understanding consumer behavior. By providing a conceptual foundation, this study aims to guide future research and practice in navigating this complex and evolving landscape.

References

- Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2020). Artificial intelligence and jobs: Evidence from online vacancies. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 34(4), 3–30. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.34.4.3>
- Aguirre, E., Mahr, D., Grewal, D., De Ruyter, K., & Wetzels, M. (2015). Unraveling the personalization paradox: The effect of information collection and trust-building strategies on online advertisement effectiveness. *Journal of Retailing*, 91(1), 34–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2014.09.005>
- Bleier, A., & Eisenbeiss, M. (2015). Personalized online advertising effectiveness: The interplay of what, when, and where. *Marketing Science*, 34(5), 669–688. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mksc.2015.0930>
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future*. W. W. Norton.
- Chen, H., Chiang, R. H., & Storey, V. C. (2012). Business intelligence and analytics: From big data to big impact. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(4), 1165–1188.
- Chen, H., Li, X., & Yin, Y. (2021). The impact of artificial intelligence on consumer behavior. *Decision Support Systems*, 148, 113550. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2021.113550>
- Davenport, T. H., Guha, A., Grewal, D., & Bressgott, T. (2020). How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48(1), 24–42.
- De Keyser, A., Verleye, K., Lemon, K. N., Keiningham, T. L., & Klaus, P. (2019). Moving the customer experience field forward. *Journal of Service Management*, 30(3), 1–23.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57, 101994.
- Grewal, D., Roggeveen, A. L., & Nordfält, J. (2017). The future of retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 93(1), 1–6.
- Haenlein, M., & Kaplan, A. M. (2019). A brief history of artificial intelligence. *Business Horizons*, 62(1), 5–14.
- Hoyer, W. D., et al. (2020). Consumer behavior in the digital age. *Journal of Marketing*, 84(1), 1–25.
- Huang, M. H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). Artificial intelligence in service. *Journal of Service Research*, 24(1), 3–13.
- Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2020). Rulers of the world, unite! *Business Horizons*, 63(1), 37–50.
- Lambrecht, A., & Tucker, C. (2019). Algorithmic bias? *Management Science*, 65(5), 2019–2035.
- Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Understanding customer experience. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 69–96.
- Martin, K., & Murphy, P. (2017). The role of data privacy in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 45(2), 135–155.
- Mikalef, P., Krogstie, J., Pappas, I. O., & Pavlou, P. (2020). Big data analytics capabilities. *Information & Management*, 57(2), 103169.
- Pariser, E. (2011). *The filter bubble*. Penguin.
- Rust, R. T. (2020). The future of marketing. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 37(1), 15–26.
- Shankar, V. (2018). How artificial intelligence is reshaping retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 94(4), 1–8.

- Smith, B., & Linden, G. (2017). Two decades of recommender systems at Amazon. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 21(3), 12–18.
- Sunstein, C. R. (2017). *#Republic: Divided democracy in the age of social media*. Princeton University Press.
- Thaler, R. H. (2015). *Misbehaving*. W. W. Norton.
- Tucker, C. (2018). Privacy and personalization. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 55(5), 687–702.
- Verhoef, P. C., et al. (2021). Digital transformation. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 889–901.
- Wedel, M., & Kannan, P. K. (2016). Marketing analytics. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 97–121.
- Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism*. PublicAffairs.